

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

05/05/95	CLERON		Mi	P152	5/112007
					and the second second second
	LM51/0816	一		EXA	MINER
KENNA F				1	PAPER NUMBER
10			2755 DATE M		#25
F	-	ENNA:	ENNA:	ENNA CALDA O 2755	CALDWELL, P ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Interview Summary

≼აplication No. 08/435,377

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Cleron et al

Pat Caldwell

Group Art Unit 2755



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, I	PTO personnel):
(1) Pat Caldwell	(3) Michael Reinemann
(2) Alvin Oberley	(4)
Date of Interview Aug 12, 1999	
Type: 🛚 Telephonic 🗀 Personal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description: ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed: none	
This summary is in response to telephone message (8/thoroughly reviewed the details of this case numerous (final rejection), 22 (interview summary), 23 (applican attachment). Applicants and Examiners have repeated declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 (paper item 17). As sinterview with the Examiner and SPE. MPEP 715.08 redeclaration issue.	to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (11/99) and telephone conversations (8/12/99). SPE has times. The issues at hand have been detailed in paper items 21 ats' request for reconsideration) & 24 (advisory action and ally failed to reach agreement regarding the formal sufficiency of such, there does not appear to be a need for an additional telephone recites Applicants' options for review of the 37 CFR 1.131
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the arthe claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	mendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render o copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	eparate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST IN	ate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP n has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and requirem claims are now allowable, this completed form	re (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to nents that may be present in the last Office action, and since the is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last roviding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is a	an attachment to a signed Office action.